SOME PROPERTIES OF SOFT MULTISET

Ahmed Ibrahim Isah

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kaduna State University, Kaduna-Nigeria

Author Email Address: ahmed.isah@kasu.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

The concepts of relative null, relative semi-null, relative absolute, relative semi-absolute and absolute soft multisets were presented. It is shown that the relative absolute soft multiset is equivalent to the complement of relative null soft multiset, the complement of relative absolute soft multiset is equivalent to the relative null soft multiset. It is further established that a relative semi-absolute soft multiset is equivalent to the complement of a relative semi-null soft multiset and the complement of a relative semi-absolute soft multiset is equivalent to a relative semi-absolute soft multiset is equivalent to a relative semi-absolute soft multiset are also established.

Keywords: Multiset, Soft Set, Soft Multiset, absolute soft multiset, relative absolute soft multiset

INTRODUCTION

The concept of a set formulated by George Cantor requires that an object must be definite and distinct. Thus, the issue of repetition and vagueness becomes a problem for philosophers, logicians, mathematicians and computer scientists etc. To handle these, tools such as rough sets (Pawlak, 1982), soft sets (Molodtsov, 1999), fuzzy sets (Zadeh, 1965), multisets (Yager, 1981), etc., emerged.

Soft set which is an approximate description of an object consisting of predicate and approximate value set comes up as a result of the requirements for exact solutions in classical sets, as some problems are so complicated in such a way that, only an approximate solution is possible. This theory attracts applications in various fields such as decision making, medical diagnosis, algebra, data analysis, forecasting, game theory etc. (Maji & Roy, 2002; Majumdar & Samanta, 2008; Zou & Xiao, 2008; Xiao *et al.*, 2009; Qin & Hong, 2010).

Multiset which is an unordered collection of objects where duplicates of objects are considered significant was developed with the aim of addressing repetitions. The theory has applications in many fields such as mathematics, computer science and social sciences to mention a few (Blizard, 1991; Singh *et al.*, 2007; Isah Tella, 2015; Singh & Isah, 2015, 2016].

Soft multisets was introduced in (Alkhazaleh, 2011) using the idea of universes. Since then, various scholars contributed to the development of the theory using different approaches (Neog & Sut, 2012; Majumdar, 2012; Babitha & John, 2013). The theory attracts applications in decision making, mathematics, computer science etc.

In this paper some properties such as relative null, relative seminull, absolute, relative absolute and relative semi-absolute soft multisets were presented and related results were established.

Preliminaries

Soft set

Definition 2.1.1 (Molodtsov, 1999)

Let U be an initial universe set and E a set of parameters with respect to U. Let P(U) denote the power set of U and $A \subseteq E$. A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U, where F is a mapping given by $F: A \rightarrow P(U)$. Thus, (F, A) is defined as

 $(F,A) = \{F(e) \in P(U) : e \in E, F(e) = \emptyset \text{ if } e \notin A\}.$

Definition 2.1.2 (Pei & Miao, 2005) Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two soft sets over a common universe U, we say that

- (a) (F,A) is a soft subset of (G,B), denoted by $(F,A) \subset (G,B)$, if
- (i) $A \subset B$, and
- (ii) $\forall e \in A, F(e) \subseteq G(e).$

(*F*, *A*) is soft equal to (*G*, *B*), denoted (*F*, *A*) = (*G*, *B*), if $(F, A) \subseteq (G, B)$ and $(G, B) \subseteq (F, A)$

Multisets (mset, for short) (Jena et al., 2001; Girish & John, 2012)

Definition 2.2.1: An mset *M* drawn from the set *X* is represented by a function *Count M* or C_M defined as $C_M: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} is a set of natural numbers including zero.

Let *M* be a multiset from *X*, then *M* can be represented as $M = \{k_1/x_1, k_2/x_2, ..., k_n/x_n\}$ with x_1 appearing k_1 times, x_2 appearing k_2 times and so on.

Definition 2.2.2: Let M and N be two msets drawn from a set X. Then

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \ M \ \subseteq \ N \ \text{if} \ C_M(x) \le C_N(x), \forall x \in X. \\ \text{(ii)} \ M = N \ \text{if} \ C_M(x) = C_N(x), \forall x \in X. \\ \text{(iii)} \ M \cup N = max\{C_M(x), C_N(x)\}, \forall x \in X. \\ \text{(iv)} \ M \cap N = min\{C_M(x), C_N(x)\}, \forall x \in X. \\ \text{(v)} \ M - N = max\{C_M(x) - C_N(x), 0\}, \forall x \in X. \end{array}$

Definition 2.2.3: Let *M* be a multiset drawn from a set *X*. The support set or root set of *M* denoted by M^* is a subset of *X* given by $M^* = \{x \in X: C_M(x) > 0\}.$

The power multiset of a given mset M, denoted by P(M) is the multiset of all submultisets of M, and the power set of a multiset M is the root set of P(M), denoted by $P^*(M)$.

Soft Multiset (Soft mset, for short) (Osmanoglu & Tokat, 2014; Tokat et al., 2015)

Definition 2.3.1: Let U be a universal multiset, E be a set of

parameters and $A \subseteq E$. Then a pair (F, A) is called a soft multiset where F is a mapping given by $F : A \longrightarrow P^*(U)$. For all $e \in A$, the mset F(e) is represented by a count function $C_{F(e)} : U^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.3.2: Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two soft multisets over U. Then

- (i) (F,A) is a soft submultiset of (G,B) written $(F,A) \sqsubseteq (G,B)$ if
 - (a) $A \subseteq B$

(b) $C_{F(e)}(x) \leq C_{G(e)}(x), \forall x \in U^*, \forall e \in A.$

(F, A) = (G, B) iff $(F, A) \equiv (G, B)$ and $(G, B) \equiv (F, A)$. Also, if $(F, A) \equiv (G, B)$ and $(F, A) \neq (G, B)$ then (F, A) is called a proper soft submset of (G, B), and (F, A) is a whole soft submset of (G, B) if $C_{F(e)}(x) = C_{G(e)}(x), \forall x \in F(e)$.

(ii) Union:

 $(F, A) \sqcup (G, B) = (H, C)$ where $C = A \cup B$ and $C_{H(e)}(x) = max\{C_{F(e)}(x), C_{G(e)}(x)\}, \forall e \in C, \forall x \in U^*.$

(iii) Intersection:

 $(F, A) \sqcap (G, B) = (H, C)$ where $C = A \cap B$ and $C_{H(e)}(x) = min\{C_{F(e)}(x), C_{G(e)}(x)\}, \forall e \in C, \forall x \in U^*.$

(iv) Difference:

 $(F, E) \setminus (G, E) = (H, E)$ where $C_{H(e)}(x) = max \{ C_{F(e)}(x) - C_{G(e)}(x), 0 \}, \forall x \in U^*.$

(v) Null:

A soft multiset (F, A) is called a Null soft multiset denoted by Φ if $\forall e \in A, F(e) = \emptyset$.

(vi) **Complement:**

The complement of a soft multiset (F, A), denoted by $(F, A)^c$, is defined by $(F, A)^c = (F^c, A)$ where $F^c: A \to P^*(U)$ is a mapping given by $F^c(e) = U \setminus F(e), \forall e \in A$ where $C_{F^c(e)}(x) = C_U(x) - C_{F(e)}(x), \forall x \in U^*$.

Some properties of soft multisets

Definition 3.1 Let U be a universal multiset, E be a set of parameters and $A \subseteq E$. Then

(i) (F, A) is called a *relative null* soft multiset with respect to A denoted $(F, A)_{\emptyset}$ if $F(e) = \emptyset, \forall e \in A$.

(ii) (F, A) is called a *relative semi-null* soft multiset with respect to A, denoted $(F, A)_{\emptyset 1}$ if $\exists e \in A$ such that $F(e) = \emptyset$.

(iii) (*F*, *A*) is called a *relative absolute* soft multiset with respect to *A* denoted $(F, A)_{ii}$ if $F(e) = U, \forall e \in A$.

(iv) (F, A) is called a *relative semi-absolute* soft multiset with respect to *A*, denoted $(F, A)_{U1}$ if $\exists e \in A$ such that F(e) = U. (v) (F, E) is said to be the absolute soft multiset denoted $(F, E)_U$ if $F(e) = U, \forall e \in E$.

Example 3.2

Let $= \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$ and $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_7\}$. Then (i) If $F(e_1) = \emptyset$, $F(e_2) = \emptyset$, $F(e_7) = \emptyset$, then (F, A) is a

relative null.

(ii) If $F(e_1) = \{2/w, 1/y\}, F(e_2) = \emptyset, F(e_7) = \{4/w, 1/y, 1/x\}$ then (F, A) is a relative semi-null. (iii) If $F(e_1) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(e_2) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(e_7) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}$, then (F, A) is a relative absolute.

(iv) If $F(e_1) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(e_2) = \{1/x, 3/y\}, F(e_7) = \{4/w, 2/x, 1/y\}$, then (F, A) is a relative semiabsolute. (v) If $F(e_1) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(e_2) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(e_3) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(4) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}$

 $y_{3}, F(e_{5}) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(e_{6}) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}, F(e_{6}), F(e_{$

y, $F(e_7) = \{5/w, 2/x, 3/y\}$, then (F, E) is the absolute soft multiset.

Theorem 3.3

(i) $(F,A)_U = ((F,A)_{\emptyset})^c$ (ii) $((F,A)_U)^c = (F,A)_{\emptyset}$ (iii) $(F,A)_{U1} = ((F,A)_{\emptyset 1})^c$ (iv) $((F,A)_{U1})^c = (F,A)_{\emptyset 1}$

Proof

(i) Let $e \in A$, then each element of $((F,A)_{\emptyset})^c$ will be $F^c(e) = U \setminus F(e)$ but by definition of $(F,A)_{\emptyset}$, $F(e) = \emptyset$ thus, $F^c(e) = U \setminus F(e) = U \setminus \emptyset = U$ In other words, each element of $((F,A)_{\emptyset})^c$ will be equal to U. That is, $((F,A)_{\emptyset})^c \equiv (F,A)_U$ (1) Conversely, Consider $(F,A)_U$, by definition each element of $(F,A)_U$ will be equal to U. i. e., $(F,A)_U \equiv ((F,A)_{\emptyset})^c$ (2) From (1) and (2) $(F,A)_U = ((F,A)_{\emptyset})^c$.

(ii) Let $e \in A$, then each element of $((F, A)_U)^c$ will be $F^c(e) = U \setminus F(e)$ but by definition of $(F, A)_U$, F(e) = U, thus, $F^c(e) = U \setminus U = \emptyset$ i.e., each element of $((F, A)_U)^c$ will be \emptyset . i.e., $((F, A)_U)^c \equiv (F, A)_{\emptyset}$ (1) Conversely, Let consider $(F, A)_{\emptyset}$. By definiton of $(F, A)_{\emptyset}$, each of its element $F(e) = \emptyset$. i.e., $(F, A)_{\emptyset} \equiv ((F, A)_U)^c$ (2) From (1) and (2) we have $((F, A)_U)^c = (F, A)_{\emptyset}$.

(iii) Let an element $F(e) \in (F, A)_{U1}$, this imply F(e) = U for atleast one $e \in A$. i.e., $(F, A)_{U1} \equiv ((F, A)_{\emptyset 1})^c$ (1) Conversely, Consider $((F, A)_{\emptyset 1})^c$, then an element $F^c(e)$ of $((F, A)_{\emptyset 1})^c$ will be of the form $F^c(e) = U \setminus F(e)$, but $F(e) = \emptyset$ for atleast one $e \in A$ by the definition of $(F, A)_{\emptyset 1}$. i.e., $F^c(e) = U$ for atleast one $e \in A$. i.e., $((F, A)_{\emptyset 1})^c \equiv (F, A)_{U1}$ (2) From (1) and (2), $(F, A)_{U1} = ((F, A)_{\emptyset 1})^c$.

(iv) Let $e \in A$, then each element of $((F,A)_{U1})^c$ will be $F^c(e) = U \setminus F(e)$,

but by definition of $(F, A)_{U1}$, F(e) = U for atleast one $e \in A$. i.e., an element of $((F, A)_{U1})^c$ will be $U \setminus U = \emptyset$ for atleast one $e \in A$.

i.e., $((F,A)_{U1})^c \equiv (F,A)_{\phi 1}$ (1) Conversely,

Let consider $(F, A)_{\emptyset 1}$, by definition, we have $F(e) = \emptyset$ for atleast one $e \in A$.

i. e., $(F, A)_{\emptyset 1} \equiv ((F, A)_{U1})^c$ (2) From (1) and (2) we have $((F, A)_{U1})^c = (F, A)_{\emptyset 1}$.

Corollary 3.4

(i) Every relative null soft multiset is a semi null soft multiset, but the converse is not always true.

(ii) Every relative absolute soft multiset is a relative semi absolute soft multiset, however, the converse is not always true.

Conclusion

The paper presents an important aspect of soft multisets that are useful in many areas of mathematics, computer science, decision making and such other areas that deals with uncertainty.

REFERENCES

- Alkhazaleh, S., Salleh, A. R. and Hassan, N. (2011). Soft Multisets Theory, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 5 (72) 3561-3573.
- Babitha, K. V. and John, S. J. (2013). On Soft Multsets, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 5 (1) 35-44.
- Blizard, W. (1991). The Development of Multiset Theory, *Modern Logic*, 1: 319-352.
- Girish, K. P. and John, S. J. (2012). Multiset Topologies Induced by Multiset Relations, *Information Science*, 188: 298-313.
- Isah, A. I. and Tella, Y. (2015). The Concept of Multiset Category, British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science 9 (5) 427-437.
- Jena, S. P., Ghosh, S. K. and Tripathy, B.K. (2001). On the Theory of Bags and Lists, *Information Science*, 132: 241-254.
- Maji, P. K and Roy, A. R. (2002). An application of Soft sets in a Decision Making Problem, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 44: 1077-1083.

- Majumdar, P. and Samanta, S.K. (2008). Similarity measure of Soft sets, New math. and Natural Computation, 4 (1) 1-12.
- Majumdar, P. (2012). Soft Multisets, *Journal of Mathematics and* Computer Science 2 (6) 1700-1711.
- Molodtsov, D. (1999). Soft set theory-First results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37: 19-31.
- Neog, T. J. and Sut, D. K. (2012). On Soft Multisets Theory, International Journal of Advanced Computer and Mathematical Sciences, 3 (3) 295-304.
- Osmanoglu, I. and Tokat, D. (2014). Compact Soft Multi Spaces, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 7 (1) 97-108.
- Pawlak, Z. (1982). Rough sets, Int. J. Inf. Comp. Sci. 11: 341-356.
- Pei, D. and Miao, D. (2005). From soft set to information systems, In: Proceedings of Granular computing IEEE, 2: 617-621.
- Qin, K. and Hong, Z. (2010). On Soft Equality, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Elsevier, 234: 1347-1355.
- Singh, D., Ibrahim, A. M., Yohanna, T. and Singh, J. N. (2007). An Overview of the Applications of Multisets, *Novi Sad Journal* of *Mathematics* 37 (2) 73-92.
- Singh, D. and Isah, A. İ. (2015). Some Algebraic Structures of Languages, *Journal of mathematics and computer science* 14: 250-257.
- Singh, D. and Isah, A. I. (2016). Mathematics of Multisets: a unified Approach, Afrika Matematika, Springer 27: 1139-1146.
- Tokat, D., Osmanoglu, I. and Ücok, Y. (2015). On Soft Multi Semi-continuous Functions, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 10 (5) 691-704.
- Xiao, Z., Gong, K. and Zou, Y. (2009). A Combined Forecasting Approach Based on Fuzzy Soft sets, *Journal of Computational & Applied Mathematics*, 228: 326-333.
- Yager, R. R. (1981). On the theory of bags, International Journal of General Systems 13: 23-37.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8: 338-353.
- Zou, Y. and Xiao, Z. (2008). Data Analysis Approaches of Soft sets Under Incomplete Information, *Knowledge-Based* Systems, 21: 941-945.