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#### Abstract

This paper focuses on the modified logistic differential equation model for population growth of organisms in an environment. The situation considered was that of a fish population that were stocked in an environment, with the fact that the environment has a particular carrying capacity and the organisms are increasing as the other factors for their survival are kept constant. We incorporated a condition where the farmer is constrained to stock the same environment with additional fishes and another environment. These constraints led to the modification of the logistic differential equation model whose solution is based on the calculus approach. The results of the modified model demonstrate superiority over its classical counterparts in terms of carrying capacity with increase in the interval and step sizes.
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## INTRODUCTION

The models for fish population growth are based on the premise that the population grows at a rate proportional to its size. This assumption could also be applied to the population of bacteria or animals under real-life situation such as absence of adequate nutrition, unlimited environment, predators and diseases (Andire, 2003; Finley, 2011; Gebremedhin et al., 2021). The variables for this population model are identified as
$t=$ time, an independent variable
$P=$ Population, a dependent variable denoted as $\beta\}$
The rate of the fish population growth which is proportional to the size can be modeled through different differential equations (Okuonghae, 2011; Shlyufman et al., 2018; Logofet, 2019). Considering the situational phenomenon in Equation (1), different differential equations can be modeled from it as follows
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\frac{d \beta}{d t}=\alpha \beta \\ \frac{d \beta}{d t}=\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{2} \beta^{2} \\ \frac{d \beta}{d t}=f(\alpha(t))+g(\alpha(t-\omega))\end{array}\right\}$
These formulated models of differential Equations in (2) depict the situations given in Equation (1) with the factor of the rate of change of the quantity $\beta$, which depends on the amount of the quantity. If $\beta>0$, we have the exponential growth of the population parameter and when $\alpha<0$ the situation becomes an exponential decay population parameter (Stewart, 1999; Il'in, 2007; Ikpotokin and Siloko, 2019). Thus, there is need to include more parameters in Equation (2) that makes it to be more robust and as such when the value of $\beta$ is evaluated at time $(\mathrm{t})$, the instantaneous rate of
change at time (t) becomes a function of previous time called the delay differential equation (Murray, 2002; Abakumov and Izrailsky, 2022; Siloko and Siloko, 2023).
This paper modifies the logistic differential equation using the calculus approach by incorporating extra variables into the model. It presents a brief discussion of the logistic differential equation model for population growth of fishes. The analytic solution of the logistic differential equation model and the modified logistic differential equation model using the calculus approach via numerical simulation were obtained.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## The Logistic Differential Equation Model

The population of fishes grow exponentially in its early stage of development and later begins a level off which tends towards the capacity of the organisms' environment due to lack of resources. Thus, if $\beta(t)$ is the size of the fish population at time $t$, we can model this as
$\frac{d \beta}{d t} \cong \partial \beta$,
provided $\beta$ is small while $\partial$ is the initial growth rate of the fish in stock. This implies that the population growth rate is almost a constant or uniform when the population size of the fish is small, but the population growth rate diminishes as the population $\beta$ increases (Stewart, 1999). Another unique situation is when $\beta$ becomes negative. The model for the population growth that incorporates these assumptions is the logistic differential equation given as
$\frac{d \beta}{d t}=\partial \beta\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)$
where $\delta$ represents the capacity of the organism's environment (Stewart, 1999; Abakumov and Izrailsky, 2016; Ikpotokin et al., 2020). The constraints of the model in Equation (4) expressed as ( $t, \beta$ ) implies that if $\beta>\delta$, then $1-\beta / \delta$ is negative, so that $\frac{d \beta}{d t}<0$ thereby leading to a decrease in the fish population. This makes Equation (4) the objective function model for determining the extra optimum stock of the fish variable in the model. Adopting the calculus approach that involves integration of Equation (4), we have
$\int \frac{d \beta}{d t}=\int \partial \beta\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)$
Applying integration by partial fraction method on Equation (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{1}{\delta-\beta}\right) d \beta=\int \delta d t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A= \pm \exp (-\pi)$, where $\pi$ is the constant of integration and letting $t=0$ and $\beta=\beta_{0}$ called the initial population of the fish stock, then the solution of the logistic differential equation model for fish stock given in Equation (4), has its solution given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta(t)=\frac{\delta}{1+\operatorname{Aexp}(-\partial t)} \\
& =\frac{\delta}{1+\left(\frac{\delta-\beta_{0}}{\beta_{0}}\right) \exp (-\partial t)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

## The Modified Logistic Differential Equation Model

The proposed method is an extension of the logistic differential equation model by incorporating more parameters that depict the fish population growth rate. The Mathematical structure of this model is given as
$\frac{d \beta}{d t}=\partial \beta\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)-\alpha \vartheta$,
where $\alpha$ is the maximum growth rate capacity, $\vartheta$ represents the extra optimum stock of the fish, $\delta$ is the carrying capacity of the organism (fish) environment, $\beta$ is the population of the organism (fish) in stock, $t$ is the time of instantaneous growth of the organism (fish). The constraints of the modified logistic differential equation model in Equation (8) is expressed as $\vartheta_{\text {max }}(t, \beta)$ which is the objective function for determining the extra optimum stock of the fish variable in the proposed model. Applying the calculus approach by letting $\tau=\partial \beta\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)$ on Equation (8), we have
$\frac{d \beta}{d t}=\tau-\alpha \vartheta$
Integrating both sides of equation (9), we have
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\int \frac{d \beta}{\tau-\alpha \vartheta}=\int d t \\ \vartheta=\frac{\tau}{\delta}-\frac{a^{\delta}}{\delta} \exp (-\partial t)\end{array}\right.$

Equation (10) shows that as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $\frac{a^{\delta}}{\delta} \exp (-\partial t)=0$ which implies that $\vartheta=\frac{\tau}{\delta}$. Adopting the variable transformation techniques on Equation (10) by letting $\frac{d \tau}{d t}=$ $\frac{d \mathrm{x}}{d t}$ and $\frac{d \vartheta}{d t}=\frac{d^{2} \mathrm{x}}{d t^{2}}$, we explicitly transform the modified logistic differential equation model into a second-order differential equation of the form
$\frac{d^{2} \mathrm{x}}{d t^{2}}+k \frac{d \mathrm{x}}{d t}=\tau$
The solution of the non-homogeneous differential equation of (11) produces the maximum stock of fish in any environment in the modified logistic differential equation model (8). Hence, incorporating the solution of Equation (11) into the Euler's transformation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{\max }(t, \beta)=\frac{G(t, \beta) t}{\partial}-\frac{G(t, \beta)}{\partial^{2}}(1-\exp (-\partial t)) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The efficiency of the proposed model depends on its potency to accommodate extra maximum fishes that could be stock in the environment with a fixed carrying capacity. In the implementation, we assumed $\delta=100,000$ and the initial population of the fish stock denoted by $\beta_{0}=100$ which were substituted into Equations (4), (7) and (12) called the Logistic Differential Equation (LDE) model, Analytic Logistic Differential Equation (ALDE) model and Modified Logistic Differential Equation (MLDE) model using the Euler's method with varied step sizes $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ and periodic times $(\mathrm{t})$ in weeks. The presentation in Table 1 and the graphical display are results of the models adopted in this study. The numerical computations were carried out at four varied growth rates with a comparison of results in Table 1.

Table 1: Models Showing Extra Maximum Fish Stock at Fixed Carrying Capacity

| $i$ | Step | Time | $G(t, \beta) k=0.02$ |  |  | $G(t, \beta) k=0.04$ |  |  | $G(t, \beta) k=0.06$ |  |  | $G(t, \beta) k=0.08$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ALDE | LDE | MLDE | ALDE | LDE | MLDE | ALDE | LDE | MLDE | ALDE | LDE | MLDE |
| 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 100 | 108 | 0 | 100 | 108 | 0 | 100 | 112 | 0 | 100 | 116 | 0 |
| 2 | 1.9 | 5 | 111 | 108 | 1306 | 122 | 116 | 1358 | 134 | 125 | 1306 | 149 | 134 | 1306 |
| 3 | 1.8 | 10 | 122 | 112 | 5245 | 149 | 124 | 5450 | 182 | 139 | 5746 | 222 | 153 | 5961 |
| 4 | 1.7 | 15 | 135 | 116 | 11837 | 182 | 132 | 12277 | 246 | 153 | 13029 | 321 | 174 | 13626 |
| 5 | 1.6 | 20 | 149 | 120 | 21096 | 222 | 140 | 21816 | 331 | 168 | 23389 | 493 | 196 | 24558 |
| 6 | 1.5 | 25 | 165 | 124 | 33025 | 271 | 148 | 34029 | 447 | 183 | 36759 | 734 | 220 | 39027 |
| 7 | 1.4 | 30 | 182 | 128 | 47620 | 331 | 156 | 48866 | 602 | 193 | 51751 | 1091 | 245 | 57067 |
| 8 | 1.3 | 35 | 201 | 132 | 64873 | 404 | 164 | 66276 | 811 | 208 | 70631 | 1619 | 270 | 78502 |
| 9 | 1.2 | 40 | 222 | 136 | 84772 | 493 | 172 | 86204 | 1091 | 223 | 92341 | 2397 | 296 | 103635 |
| 10 | 1.1 | 45 | 246 | 140 | 107299 | 602 | 180 | 108596 | 1468 | 238 | 116831 | 3534 | 322 | 132187 |
| 11 | 1.0 | 50 | 271 | 144 | 132437 | 734 | 187 | 132692 | 1771 | 252 | 143485 | 5182 | 348 | 164121 |
| 12 | 0.9 | 55 | 300 | 148 | 160162 | 895 | 194 | 158935 | 2642 | 266 | 172670 | 7539 | 373 | 198872 |
| 13 | 0.8 | 60 | 331 | 152 | 190454 | 1091 | 200 | 186340 | 3534 | 279 | 203618 | 10844 | 397 | 236229 |
| 14 | 0.7 | 65 | 366 | 156 | 223287 | 1330 | 206 | 215563 | 4712 | 291 | 236053 | 15358 | 419 | 275329 |
| 15 | 0.6 | 70 | 404 | 160 | 258639 | 1619 | 211 | 245394 | 6258 | 302 | 269702 | 21303 | 439 | 315785 |
| 16 | 0.5 | 75 | 447 | 164 | 296483 | 1971 | 215 | 275440 | 8266 | 311 | 303321 | 28766 | 457 | 357208 |
| 17 | 0.4 | 80 | 493 | 168 | 336796 | 2397 | 218 | 305304 | 10844 | 318 | 336393 | 37595 | 472 | 398373 |
| 18 | 0.3 | 85 | 545 | 172 | 379554 | 2912 | 221 | 336110 | 14103 | 324 | 369548 | 47333 | 483 | 437803 |
| 19 | 0.2 | 90 | 602 | 176 | 424732 | 3534 | 223 | 366183 | 18142 | 328 | 401300 | 57279 | 491 | 475714 |
| 20 | 0.1 | 95 | 665 | 180 | 472306 | 4283 | 224 | 395132 | 23027 | 330 | 431140 | 66669 | 495 | 510507 |

The presentation of the results in Table 1 with different step sizes started with the Analytic Logistic Differential Equation (ALDE) model, Logistic Differential Equation (LDE) model and Modified Logistic Differential Equation (MLDE) model and from the results, the Modified Logistic Differential Equation (MLDE) model outperformed the other two models investigated.


Figure 1: Graph of Maximum Fish Stock Using the Analytic Model


Figure 2: Graph of Maximum Fish Stock Using the Logistic Model


Figure 3: Graph of Maximum Fish Stock Using the Modified Model


Figure 4: Graph of Maximum Fish Stock Using the Analytic Model


Figure 5: Graph of Maximum Fish Stock Using the Logistic Model


Figure 6: Graph of Maximum Fish Stock Using the Modified Model

The graphical results of the analytic logistic differential equation, logistic differential equation model and modified logistic differential equation model at varied periods in weeks are in Figures (1-3). Also, the graphs of the varied step sizes of the various models with the fish stock population that is increasing from the initial stock values in thousands are in Figures (4-6). The increased trend is also noticed as the sizes of the fishes increase from the first week of stocking to the period of maturity. As observed in Figures (1-3) and Figures (4-6), the modified logistic differential equation model outperformed the other existing models because it produces the maximum fish stock at varied periods in weeks and step sizes. The modified model is capable of accommodating more organisms (fishes) and this is due to the extra parameters incorporated into the model.


Figure 7: Graph of Model Comparison at the Rate of 0.02


Figure 8: Graph of Model Comparison at the Rate of 0.04


Figure 9: Graph of Model Comparison at the Rate of 0.06


Figure 10: Graph of Model Comparison at the Rate of 0.08
The graphical comparison of models at varied rates are in Figures (7-10) and as seen from the graphs, the modified logistic differential equation model gives maximum amount of fish stock in the environment than the analytic logistic differential equation model and the logistic differential equation model. Again, at rates of higher values, the performance of the analytic logistic differential equation model tends to appreciate when compared with the logistic differential equation model as demonstrated in Figure 9 and Figure 10 respectively. Hence; it has been observed that higher rates are associated with better performance numerically and graphically.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is evident from the graphical analysis and numerical results that the modified logistic differential equation model gives the maximum fish stock with a small interval of periods. This simply means that the model projected an increase when the size of the fish increases. Thus, fish farmers and agro-based industries should adopt the modified logistic differential equation model during fish stocking processes. This model is recommended in model selection due to the incorporation of the necessary parameters designed for its success.
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